

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

PETER B. AXELROD (CABN 190843)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
Fax: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: peter.axelrod@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOSSEIN DABESTANI,

Defendant.

No. CR 12-70743 HRL

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
PRELIMINARY HEARING AND
EXCLUDING TIME FROM SPEEDY
TRIAL ACT CALCULATION

With the agreement of the parties, and as set forth on the record on July 10, 2012, the Court enters this order setting a date for a preliminary hearing on September 6, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting defendant Hossein Dabestani's consent to an extension of time for the preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and (d) and the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from July 10, 2012 to September 6, 2012.

The Court finds and holds, as follows:

1. The defendant is presently charged by criminal complaint for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1960(a).

No. CR 12-70743 HRL
ORDER EXTENDING TIME

FILED

2012 JUL 10 P 1:59

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. CA - SAN JOSE

1 2. With the defendant's consent, the twenty-one day time limit for a
2 preliminary hearing or indictment under F.R.Crim.P. 5.1(c) is extended, and time is
3 excluded from calculation of the thirty-day time limit for information or indictment under
4 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). The United States has provided materials to defense counsel and
5 thus counsel will need time to review those materials, meet with the defendant and
6 determine how to proceed. Accordingly, the extension and exclusion are required for
7 effective preparation of defense counsel.

8 3. There is good cause for the extension under F.R.Crim.P. 5.1, and the
9 exclusion under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, and that the ends of justice served by granting this
10 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and of the defendant in a speedy trial
11 and the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court
12 further finds that failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel for all parties
13 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due
14 diligence under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

15 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court sets a date
16 and orders that the period from July 10, 2012 to September 6, 2012 be excluded from the
17 time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and
18 from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20
21
22 DATED: 7/10/12



HOWARD R. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge